

# IMES DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

**Revisiting the Decline in the Exchange Rate Pass-Through:  
Further Evidence from Japan's Import Prices**

Akira Otani,\* Shigenori Shiratsuka,\*\* and Toyochiro Shirota\*\*\*

**Discussion Paper No. 2005-E-6**

# IMES

INSTITUTE FOR MONETARY AND ECONOMIC STUDIES

BANK OF JAPAN

C.P.O BOX 203 TOKYO

100-8630 JAPAN

You can download this and other papers at the IMES Web site:

<http://www.imes.boj.or.jp>

Do not reprint or reproduce without permission.

NOTE: IMES Discussion Paper Series is circulated in order to stimulate discussion and comments. Views expressed in Discussion Paper Series are those of authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Bank of Japan or the Institute for Monetary and Economic Studies.

## Revisiting the Decline in the Exchange Rate Pass-Through: Further Evidence from Japan's Import Prices

Akira Otani,\* Shigenori Shiratsuka,\*\* and Toyoichiro Shiota\*\*\*

### Abstract

Many empirical studies show common empirical findings that the exchange rate pass-through to import prices in advanced countries declines in the 1990s. Some of those studies, however, draw contrasting conclusions regarding the factors behind such decline. Campa and Goldberg (2002) point out that such decline comes mainly from the decline in the import share of primary commodities, such as raw materials and fuels, while Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shiota (2003) make the case that such decline is mostly attributable to the decline in the exchange rate pass-through in each product category. In this paper, we empirically reexamine the validity of the contrasting hypotheses on the decline in the exchange rate pass-through. Our empirical results demonstrate that the decline in the exchange rate pass-through to Japan's import prices excluding primary commodities is largely attributable to the declines in the exchange rate pass-through in each product. Our empirical results also suggest the possibility that the declines in the long-term exchange rate pass-through to overall import prices are induced partly by the decline in the import share of primary commodities. The second point, however, should be taken cautiously, because the precision of the estimates is not high enough to draw a definite conclusion.

**Key words:** Exchange rate pass-through, Pricing-to-market, Import structure, Expenditure-switching effect, Firms' sourcing decision.

**JEL classification:** F21, F30, F40.

\* Bank Examination and Surveillance Department, Bank of Japan (E-mail: akira.ootani@boj.or.jp)

\*\* Institute for Monetary and Economic Studies, Bank of Japan (currently Monetary Affairs Department, E-mail: shigenori.shiratsuka@boj.or.jp)

\*\*\* The Ohio State University (currently Research and Statistics Department, E-mail: toyoichirou.shirot@boj.or.jp)

We thank Shin-ichi Fukuda, Eiji Ogawa, Yuri Sasaki, and Shinji Takagi, participants of 2004 TCER Zushi Conference, and the staff of the Institute for Monetary and Economic Studies of the Bank of Japan for their useful comments. We also thank Masayuki Nakakuki and Hiroyuki Oi for their assistance. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official views of the Bank of Japan.

## I. Introduction

Recently theoretical as well as empirical research on the exchange rate pass-through has attracted renewed attention. This line of research focuses on the exchange rate pass-through to import prices measured by “aggregate” price indicators, rather than export price setting by individual exporters in previous studies. In addition, recent studies have attempted to derive some policy implications from the exchange rate pass-through on future price developments in the economy as a whole.

Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003) indicate the two points below as the reasons behind the renewed interest in the exchange rate pass-through. The first point is Taylor’s (2000) conjecture on the recent worldwide decline in the exchange rate pass-through. His conjecture suggests that it becomes increasingly difficult for firms to fully pass on exchange rate movements in their export prices in the context of the recent economic environment, characterized by intensified worldwide competitive pressure and low and stable inflation. In response, the policy implications of the declines in the exchange rate pass-through to domestic prices are widely discussed. Taylor’s conjecture provides an important implication for future price developments. As long as low and stable inflation is maintained, exchange rate pass-through remains low, and inflation, in turn, continues to stay at a very low and stable level. This implies that a virtuous circle exists between price development and corporate pricing behavior. This circle, however, is vulnerable to accelerating inflation, if inflation increases in response to an external shock, and thus exchange rate pass-through also increases.

The second point is the recent theoretical development of “new open-economy macroeconomics,” starting from Obstfeld and Rogoff (1995).<sup>1</sup> Since this line of researches has micro-foundations, exporters can decide to set their export prices in their currency (producers’ currency pricing, PCP) or in consumers’ currency (local currency pricing, LCP) in the models. In the PCP model the exchange rate pass-through on import prices is always perfect, and thus exchange rate fluctuations work for adjusting the current account imbalance and business cycle.<sup>2</sup> In the case of LCP, however, the

---

<sup>1</sup> See Lane (2001) for a survey of recent developments in “new open-economy macroeconomics”.

<sup>2</sup> Many of these models assume that exporting firms directly sell their products to consumers in the importing country, and that import prices are equal to consumer prices. This is equivalent to assuming that home inputs are not used for the sales activity of imported goods. In reality, however, domestic

exchange rate pass-through is limited, thus producing only a small expenditure switching effect between domestic and foreign goods.<sup>3</sup> As such, these studies show that the difference in firms' price setting behavior significantly influences the transmission of monetary policy by changing the degree of exchange rate pass-through.

Following the aforementioned theoretical developments, a number of empirical studies on exchange rate pass-through to import prices have been carried out.<sup>4</sup> For example, Campa and Goldberg (2002) estimate the exchange rate pass-through to the import prices for 25 OECD member countries, and show that the exchange rate pass-through to import prices decline significantly in the 1990s. In addition, Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003) examine the exchange rate pass-through to import prices in Japan, and show that pass-through in Japan also continue to decline in the 1990s.<sup>5</sup>

Campa and Goldberg (2002) and Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003), however, draw contrasting conclusion regarding the reason behind the world-wide decline in the exchange rate pass-through. Campa and Goldberg (2002) point out that such decline comes mainly from the decline in the import share of primary commodities such as raw materials and fuels. Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003) argue that such decline is mostly attributable to the decline in the exchange rate pass-through for each product.

In this paper, following Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003), we estimate the exchange rate pass-through to import prices using both import prices including and

---

inputs are necessary for sales activity of imported goods. Thus, both import prices of goods and domestic input prices of sales activity influence the retail prices consumers face. As a result, the exchange rate pass-through on import prices is generally higher than that on CPI based prices.

<sup>3</sup> Research includes Betts and Devereux (2000) and Otani (2002).

<sup>4</sup> A considerable number of theoretical models on exchange rate pass-through on firms' export prices are proposed in the late 1980s. These focus on microeconomic aspect such as the shape of the demand curve and cost function, or the existence of irreversible investment (sunk-cost). Following these development of these theoretical studies, from the end of the 1980s through the 1990s, a large number of empirical studies estimate the exchange rate pass-through using micro data of firms' export prices. Recently, some researchers build structural models of industry behavior based on the industrial organization theory to estimate the exchange rate pass-through to export prices using micro data. For example, Kadiyali (1997) and Hellerstein (2002) estimate the exchange rate pass-through of the film industry and the beer industry, respectively.

<sup>5</sup> In addition, some studies estimate the exchange rate pass-through to import prices in Japan. Fujii (2004), for example, shows empirical evidence that Japan's exchange rate pass-through declines significantly in the 1990s, similar to that shown by Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003).

excluding the effects of primary commodity prices. We thereby carry out a rigorous reexamination of the validity of the contrasting hypotheses on the decline in the exchange rate pass-through proposed by Campa and Goldberg (2002) and Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003).<sup>6</sup>

Our empirical evidence suggests two points regarding the factors behind the decline in exchange rate pass-through to import prices in Japan in the 1990s. First, the decline in the long-term exchange rate pass-through to import prices excluding primary commodities is largely attributable to the declines in the long-term pass-through to category import prices, such as general machinery and electrical machinery. Second, the decline in the long-term exchange rate pass-through to overall import prices including primary commodities is possibly induced by the decline in the import share of primary commodities. The second point, however, should be taken cautiously, because the precision of the estimates is not high enough to draw a definite conclusion.

This paper is structured as follows. Section II explains the basic specification and data used in our estimation of the exchange rate pass-through to import prices. Section III shows our estimation results by comparing the previous studies. Finally, Section IV summarizes the findings of this paper and examines the implication of recent changes in exchange rate pass-through for monetary policy.

## **II. Specifications and Data**

In this section, we show the empirical framework to estimate exchange rate pass-through to import prices both excluding and including effects of primary commodities in a more rigorous manner. We basically follow the empirical specification in Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003), except that we use effective exchange rate, nominal effective exchange rate deflated by the overall CGPI, as a foreign exchange rate variable,

---

<sup>6</sup> Following the empirical framework of Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003), we carry out our analysis with emphasis on the robustness of data and empirical procedures. First, we use the import price series in the CGPI. Since the CGPI adjusts to changes in product quality over time, this enables us to exclude the ‘spurious’ changes in exchange rate pass-through, possibly caused by the shift in average product quality in response to exchange rate fluctuations. Second, we apply a specification that is simple but flexible enough to capture the exact long-term impacts of exchange rate fluctuations on import prices. In addition, this specification enables us to employ rolling regression analysis to explore in detail when and by how much the long-term exchange rate pass-through has declined since the 1980s.

instead of the nominal effective exchange rates in Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003).

We use monthly data to estimate exchange rate pass-through based on the equation (1) below, which includes a partial adjustment term to import prices to allow for the possibility of gradual adjustment of import prices to exchange rate fluctuations.<sup>7</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta imp_t^i &= \phi^i + \phi^i \Delta imp_{t-1}^i + \gamma^i \Delta eer_t^i + \eta^i \Delta z_t^i + v_t^i, \\ \lambda^i &= \gamma^i / (1 - \phi^i),\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where  $imp$ ,  $eer$ , and  $z$  are import prices, effective exchange rate, and other control variables that are specified below, respectively, and superscript  $i$  denotes product categories.  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda$  represent the short-term and long-term pass-through, respectively.<sup>8</sup> Note that this specification enables us to obtain the total effect of the current exchange rate on the current and future import prices, that is, the exact long-term pass-through of the current exchange rate.

Data used in our estimation are as follows. First, for the dependent variable of import prices, we employ import price indexes for each product category from the CGPI. In addition, we compile an additional series that excludes the import price index for primary commodities from overall import prices. To decompose changes in exchange rate pass-through to overall import prices excluding primary commodities, we also compile import price indexes for major product categories excluding primary commodities. We use subgroup indexes of machinery and equipment: general machinery and equipment, electrical machinery and equipment, and transportation equipment. In sum, we estimate exchange rate pass-through using the aggregate series and disaggregated import prices for eight categories: foodstuff and feedstuff, textiles, metals and related products, chemicals and related products, general machinery and equipment, electrical machinery and equipment, transportation equipment, and others.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup> We do not use an error correction model (ECM) in this paper, since the existence of cointegration between exchange rate and import prices is rejected by the Engle-Granger test.

<sup>8</sup> Estimates of short-term pass-through in Campa and Goldberg (2002) are quarterly, while ours are monthly. Long-term pass-through in equation (1) is a nonlinear function of estimated coefficients. Accordingly, standard error of long-term pass-through is estimated as follows.

*s.e.* =  $(\lambda_\gamma^2 Var[\gamma] + \lambda_\phi^2 Var[\phi] + 2\lambda_\gamma \lambda_\phi Cov[\gamma, \phi])^{1/2}$  where  $Var[\cdot]$ ,  $Cov[\cdot]$ ,  $\lambda_\gamma$ , and  $\lambda_\phi$  are estimated variance, estimated covariance,  $\partial \lambda / \partial \gamma (= 1 / (1 - \phi))$ , and  $\partial \lambda / \partial \phi (= \gamma / (1 - \phi)^2)$ , respectively.

<sup>9</sup> We use an original series of group indexes for chemicals, and subgroup indexes in the machinery

Second, for the independent variables, we use the effective exchange rates, which are the IMF's effective nominal exchange rates deflated by the domestic CGPI.<sup>10</sup> In addition, we adopt demand shock and marginal cost changes as in Campa and Goldberg (2002). We employ the Index of Industrial Production (IIP) as a proxy for demand shock. We calculate marginal cost according to the following formula used in Campa and Goldberg (2002):  $MC = (NER^{JPN}/RER^{JPN}) * ULC^{JPN}$ , where upper subscript *JPN* refers to Japan and thus  $ULC^{JPN}$  represents unit labor cost in Japan in *Main Economic Indicators* (MEI). Since the IMF's real effective exchange rate is deflated by the unit labor cost of trading partners, the calculated marginal cost obtained by the above formula becomes a weighted average of unit labor cost in trading partner countries.

In estimating the exchange rate pass-through based on equation (1) using the aforementioned data, we adopt seemingly unrelated regression in order to improve the efficiency, utilizing the residual correlation among the equations of each product category.<sup>11</sup>

---

and equipment group for general, electronic, and transportation machinery and equipment. We make adjustments to exclude primary commodities by using disaggregated series for foodstuffs and feedstuffs, textiles, metal and related products, and others. More precisely, we make adjustments for the 2000-year base Import Price Index.

Foodstuffs and feedstuffs: Foodstuffs and feedstuffs (Group) – Edible agriculture, livestock and fishery products (Subgroup) – Feedstuffs (Subgroup)

Textiles: Textiles (Group) – Natural fiber materials (Subgroup)

Metal and related products: Metal and related products (Group) – Metal materials (Subgroup) – Nonferrous metals (Subgroup)

Others: Other primary products and manufactured goods (Group) – Inedible agriculture and fishery products (Subgroup) – Nonmetallic minerals (Subgroup) – Pulp (Commodity class) Wastepaper (Commodity) + Processed lumber products (Subgroup) + Wood products (Subgroup) + Precision instruments (Subgroup)

We also make adjustments to Import Price Indexes for the base year prior to 2000 in a similar manner to that in 2000-year base index above.

<sup>10</sup> Expressed in yen term.

<sup>11</sup> The estimations are conducted using the logarithmic first difference of variables to control for the possibility of unit roots in variables included in estimation equations. The results of the augmented Dickey-Fuller test for variables included in the estimated equations reject the existence of unit root in first difference, but do not reject them in terms of level.



### **III. Estimation Results**

In this section, we estimate the exchange rate pass-through to import prices by excluding and including the impacts of primary commodity prices, based on the empirical procedures summarized in the previous section. We then examine the validity of the two contrasting hypotheses on the decline in the exchange rate pass-through: the declined import share of primary commodities and the decline in the pass-through to each category import prices. We also carry out a rolling regression to detect the timing and causes of decline in the long-term exchange rate pass-through from the 1980s.

#### **A. Benchmark Estimation Results**

We first examine whether the decline in pass-through to overall import prices comes from the import structure changes, as is suggested by Campa and Goldberg (2002). Table 1 summarizes the short-term and long-term pass-through coefficients of overall import prices, overall import prices excluding primary commodities, and import prices of product categories. The sample periods are divided into the periods before and after 1990.

Looking at the full-sample estimation results in the first column in Table 1, pass-through coefficients for overall import prices in the short-term and long term are 0.59 and 0.90, respectively, confirming that the pass-through coefficient is larger by 0.31 in the long term than in the short term.<sup>12</sup> The short-term pass-through coefficients are almost the same regardless of whereby they include or exclude primary commodities: overall, 0.59; and overall, excluding primary commodities, 0.53. In contrast, the long-term coefficients become low if primary commodities are excluded: overall, 0.90; and overall, excluding primary commodities, 0.65.

The estimates are higher in long-term coefficients than short-term coefficients in all the product categories, although they differ across product categories. Looking at short-term coefficients, general machinery and electronic machinery show high

---

<sup>12</sup> The estimates are a little smaller than those in Campa and Goldberg (2002). One reason is the difference in the frequency of data used in estimations: we employ monthly data, while Campa and Goldberg (2002) employ quarterly data. Another reason is the difference in sample periods: we use longer time series than Campa and Goldberg (2002), ranging up until December 2003.

estimates at 0.61, while textiles are the lowest at 0.37. The other categories, such as metals, and chemicals, fall between these high and low benchmarks. As for long-term coefficients, chemicals, general machinery, and electronic machinery show higher estimates of 0.74, 0.84, and 0.70, respectively, while textiles and metals show lower estimates of 0.53 and 0.52, respectively. Fuels, materials, and metals show higher estimates of 1.20, 1.15 and 0.94, respectively, while textiles shows a lower estimate of 0.55. Foods, transportation machinery, and others exhibit estimates toward the middle of the range at 0.69, 0.58, and 0.63, respectively.

Comparing the estimates between the two sub-sample periods before and after 1990, the declines in pass-through coefficients are observed for overall import prices, both including and excluding primary commodities, for both the short and long term. The pass-through coefficient declines much more in the long-term than in the short-term, and overall than overall excluding primary commodities.<sup>13</sup> Among product categories, metals, chemicals, and electronic machinery exhibit large declines in short-term estimates. In addition to the above three, more product categories, such as general machinery and transportation machinery, show decline in long-term estimates.

It should be noted, however, that the estimates for overall import prices including primary commodity prices are not necessarily precise for the 1980s, as both foreign exchange rates and primary commodity prices, including crude oil prices, show tremendous fluctuations. Although in theory the estimates for the long-term pass-through stay between zero and one, our estimate for overall import prices for the 1980s is far higher than one. In addition, its standard error is larger than the overall excluding primary commodities for the 1980s and the overall including primary commodities for the 1990s.<sup>14</sup>

As a reason for the low precision of the estimates for import prices including primary commodities, it is pointed out that the specification of equation (1) does not fully incorporate the effects of changes in exporter countries' costs. In particular, it is

---

<sup>13</sup> The decline in the long-term pass-through after the 1980s is larger than that in the short-term pass-through. This is because partial adjustment parameter  $\beta$  declines, suggesting that import prices have become less sticky recently than they are in the 1980s.

<sup>14</sup> In fact, the estimate of the long-term exchange rate pass-through to overall import prices exhibits 1.24. Even though it is far larger than one, it cannot be said that it differs from one in a statistically significant sense because of large standard errors.

likely that our estimates are relatively sensitive to the large fluctuations of foreign exchange rates and commodity prices, including crude oil, in the 1980s, due to the limitation in the specification. The point estimates in Campa and Goldberg (2002) also exceed one, suggesting that a similar problem affects their estimates of the pass-through.

Based on the consideration above, it is deemed difficult to quantitatively examine which factor has more dominant effects on the decline in the pass-through to overall import prices in the 1990s: the decline in the import share of primary commodities or the decline in pass-through for each product categories. It is undeniable in a qualitative sense that the decline in the import share of primary commodities reduces the pass-through to import prices including primary commodities.<sup>15</sup> At the same time, it is difficult to estimate the pass-through coefficients with the precision necessary to make a qualitative evaluation.

We then focus our attention on import prices excluding primary commodities to examine the factors behind the decline in the pass-through in a rigorous manner. More precisely, we decompose the decline in the pass-through to overall import prices excluding primary commodities into the contributions of changes in the import share and those in pass-through to each product category. Given that the pass-through to overall import prices roughly corresponds to the weighted average of the pass-through to each category of products,  $\bar{\gamma}_t$ , we can express the changes in the pass-through from period 0 to period  $t$  below:<sup>16</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\gamma}_t - \bar{\gamma}_0 &= \sum_i w_t^i \gamma_t^i - \sum_i w_0^i \gamma_0^i \\ &= \sum_i w_t^i (\gamma_t^i - \gamma_0^i) + \sum_i (w_t^i - w_0^i) (\gamma_0^i - \bar{\gamma}_0),\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where  $w_t^i$  represents import share of product  $i$  at period  $t$ .

---

<sup>15</sup> The decline in the exchange rate pass-through from the 1980s to the 1990s is larger in overall import prices than in overall import prices excluding primary commodities. This is consistent with the empirical evidence in Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003) showing that the decline in the pass-through is larger in overall import prices than in overall import prices excluding fuel. These results indicate the possibility that decline in the import share of primary commodities is attributable to the decline in the pass-through. Since primary commodities such as material and fuel are traded in dollar-denominated prices, the pass-through to primary commodity prices is unlikely to change over time.

<sup>16</sup> The deviation of weighted averaged pass-through from estimated pass-through is practically small enough to be ignored in the decomposition exercise.

Table 2 reports the decomposition results, and clearly demonstrates that the declines in the long-term pass-through to overall import prices excluding primary commodities are largely attributable to declines in pass-through to category import prices. This result suggests that the declines in pass-through to Japan's import prices are caused by the declines in the pass-through to category import prices, especially in electronic machinery and other machinery, in addition to the declines in the import share of primary commodities, as pointed out in Campa and Goldberg (2002).

## B. Robustness Checks

In order to check the robustness of the above estimation results, we estimate the exchange rate pass-through based on: (i) a different specification of lag pattern than equation (1); and (ii) alternative data series of effective exchange rate.<sup>17</sup>

First, as an alternative specification to equation (1), we employ a similar specification to Camp and Goldberg (2002), as follows:<sup>18</sup>

$$\Delta imp_t^i = \alpha^i + \sum_{s=0}^5 \beta_s^i \Delta eer_{t-s}^i + \delta^i \Delta z_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i, \quad (3)$$

where the short-term pass-through of product category  $i$  is given by the estimated coefficient  $\beta_0^i$  and the long-term pass-through is given by the sum of coefficients of exchange rate terms. Equation (1) assumes that the impact of changes in nominal effective exchange rate gradually decays in an exponential manner. In contrast, equation (3) allows for a more flexible pattern in the impact of contemporaneous and lagged changes in exchange rate.

Second, we next estimate equation (1), which is the same specification as the benchmark estimation, by using nominal effective exchange rates for each product category with time-varying weight, based on import value from major trading partners. The IMF effective exchange rate is less likely to reflect changes in import composition

---

<sup>17</sup> In addition to these robustness checks, we also estimate equation (1) by using the unit value indexes (UVI) of imports as an alternative import price series. Nevertheless, the results are almost same as the results reported in this paper.

<sup>18</sup> Campa and Goldberg (2002) add lags of foreign production cost terms to estimation equations. However, we only include a contemporaneous marginal cost term because the coefficients of the lags of marginal cost are not significant.

due to its use of a fixed weight, despite its advantage of the availability of long time-series retroactive to January 1978. In computing the effective exchange rates for each product category with time-varying weight, we use one-year average of monthly import for the category from major trading partner countries as a weight.<sup>19</sup> In addition, we use the weighted-average of trade partners' producer price indexes (PPI)<sup>20</sup> as a proxy for marginal cost rather than basing it on the IMF effective exchange rate in the benchmark estimation.

Table 3 summarizes the changes in the pass-through coefficients in both the short term and the long term due to space limitations. It should be noted, however, that the estimated coefficients are quite similar to the benchmark results, and are insensitive to changes in the specifications and the definition of effective exchange rates. First, the results using the alternative specification are quite closed to the benchmark estimation results, thus suggesting that our empirical results are robust to the changes in specifications. Second, the estimated declines in the pass-through become smaller when using alternative time series for effective exchange rates, while the qualitative conclusion remains unchanged.

### **C. Changes in Pass-Through over Time**

The above results for robustness checks suggest that our benchmark estimation results are not strongly influenced by the choices of specifications of lag pattern for exchange rates, or nominal effective exchange rate series. In the following, we examine changes in pass-through coefficients over time by implementing a rolling estimation. In this

---

<sup>19</sup> Import value data is taken from *The Summary Report on Trade of Japan* by the Ministry of Finance. Major trading partners include 24 economies as follows: Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, the Philippines, India, Pakistan, Israel, Sweden, Denmark, the U.K., the Netherlands, France, Germany, Switzerland, Spain, Finland, Austria, Canada, the U.S., Mexico, Venezuela, Chile, Brazil, South Africa, Australia, the EU (Jan. 1999- ), China, Malaysia, Belgium, Italy, and Norway. It should be noted that major trading partners do not include exporter countries of crude oil. This is due to concern over possible biases in the estimates for import prices excluding commodity prices if we use the IMF effective exchange rate, which includes exchange rates and import amounts between these economies. To check the robustness of our estimation results, we compute nominal effective exchange rates by adjusting the effects of oil imports, and estimate the pass-through to overall import prices, overall import prices excluding primary commodities, and category import prices excluding primary commodities.

<sup>20</sup> We use WPI when PPI is not available. If WPI is also not available, we use CPI.

exercise, we focus on overall pass-through excluding fuels and materials to eliminate the impact of structural changes in imports.

Figure 1 summarizes the estimated coefficients of exchange rate pass-through over time. The short-term pass-through in the upper panel is largely stable, though it exhibits a slight downward trend in the 1990s, and increases after the end-1990s. The long-term pass-through in the lower panel declines until the sub-sample period ending in 1999.

We also implement a rolling regression to all product categories to decompose the changes in pass-through coefficients of overall import prices excluding primary commodities into the contributions of changes in the import share and changes in pass-through rate for each product category.

Figure 2 shows the decomposed result for the cumulative changes in overall pass-through excluding primary commodities from 1986, based on equation (2). This figure indicates that the expansion of the cumulative decline in overall pass-through excluding primary commodities is mostly attributable to declines in the pass-through to import prices for individual product categories.

Estimation results shown in this section are summarized below. (i) The exchange rate pass-through declines after the 1980s. (ii) The declines in overall pass-through are likely to be induced partly by declines in the import share of primary commodities, while its impacts are difficult to quantify in a rigorous manner. (iii) The declines in the long-term pass-through to overall import prices excluding primary commodities are largely attributable to the decrease in the pass-through to individual category import prices, such as general machinery and electronic machinery. (iv) The pass-through to overall import prices excluding primary commodities continues to decline in the 1990s, and levels off thereafter.

#### **IV. Concluding Remarks**

In this paper, we have examined the changes in exchange rate pass-through on import prices in Japan using both time series for including and excluding primary commodities, following the empirical framework in Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shiota (2003). We have attempted to reexamine the contrasting hypotheses on the decline in the exchange rate pass-through given by Campa and Goldberg (2002) and Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shiota

(2003).

Our empirical findings suggest the factors below are behind the decline in the long-term exchange rate pass-through. First, the declines in the long-term pass-through to overall import prices excluding primary commodities are largely attributable to the declines in the pass-through to individual category import prices, such as general machinery and electronic machinery. Second, although the declines in overall pass-through are induced partly by declines in the import share of primary commodities, we failed to obtain estimation results that are high enough to carry out quantitative assessment in a rigorous manner.

Otani, Shiratsuka, and Shirota (2003) argue that the decline in the exchange rate pass-through to import prices in Japan is associated with the globalization of Japanese firms' activities, responding to the sharp appreciation of the yen in the mid-1980s. The foreign direct investments of Japanese firms accelerate the globalization of their production bases. It in turn raises the import penetration, thereby bringing the downward pressure to domestic prices in Japan.<sup>21</sup> Japanese firms also increase their usage of the yen as an invoice currency for their imports. Although all of these movements most likely lower exchange rate pass-through to Japan's import prices, empirical investigations using firm-level data are required to draw more decisive conclusions on this point.

It should be noted that the decline in exchange rate pass-through does not necessarily imply that exchange rate fluctuations become less important in macroeconomic fluctuations. As Obstfeld (2002) points out, at least two factors can drastically modify the impact of exchange rate fluctuations on the economy in the conclusions of abstracted theoretical models. One is that the exchange rate pass-through to domestic prices is much slower than that to import prices, reflecting the complicated chain of transactions linking the two prices. The other is that the magnitude of the expenditure switching effect crucially depends on a firm's sourcing decisions across borders. In fact, it might be the case that the recent increase in intra-firm trading makes it possible to shift business activities across borders more smoothly,

---

<sup>21</sup> Koike (2004), for example, empirically shows, based on the gravity model, that the foreign direct investments of Japanese firms have significant positive effects on trade between East Asian economies in the electronic machinery and textiles sectors.

thereby enhancing the response to exchange rate changes.

## References

- Bacchetta, P. and E. van Wincoop, "A Theory of the Currency Denomination of International Trade," NBER Working Paper 9039, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2002.
- Betts, C. and M. Devereux, "Exchange Rate Dynamics in a Model of Pricing-to-Market," *Journal of International Economics* 50(1), 2000, pp. 215-244.
- Campa, J. and L. Goldberg, "Exchange Rate Pass-through into Import Prices: A Macro or Micro Phenomenon?" NBER Working Paper 8934, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2002.
- Beveridge, S. and C. Nelson, "A New Approach to the Decomposition of Economic Time Series into Permanent and Transitory Components with Particular Attention to Measurement of the 'Business Cycle'," *Journal of Monetary Economics* 7(2), 1981, pp. 151-174.
- Froot, K. and P. Klemperer, "Exchange Rate Pass-Through When Market Share Matters," *American Economic Review* 79(4), 1989, pp. 637-654.
- Fujii, Eiji, "Exchange Rate Pass-Through in the Deflationary Japan: How Effective is the Yen's Depreciation for Fighting Deflation," CESifo Working Paper No.1134, 2004.
- Fukuda, S. and J. Cong, "On the Choice of Invoice Currency by Japanese Exporters: The PTM Approach," *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies* 8(4), 1994, pp. 511-529.
- Goldberg, P. and M. Knetter, "Goods Prices and Exchange Rates: What Have We Learned?" *Journal of Economic Literature* 35(3), 1997, pp. 1243-1272.
- Hellerstein, R., "Who Bears the Cost of a Change in the Exchange Rate? The Case of Imported Beer," University of California, Berkeley, mimeo, 2002.
- International Monetary Fund, *International Financial Statistics*, various issues.
- Kadiyali, V., "Exchange Rate Pass-Through for Strategic Pricing and Advertising: An Empirical Analysis of the U.S. Photographic Film Industry," *Journal of International Economics* 43(3/4), 1997, pp. 437-461.
- Knetter, M., "International Comparisons of Pricing-to-Market Behavior," *American*



- Economic Review* 83(3), 1993, pp.473-486.
- Koike, R., "Japan's Foreign Direct Investment and Structural Changes in Japanese and East Asian Trade," *Monetary and Economic Studies*, 22(3), Institute for Monetary and Economic Studies, Bank of Japan, 2004, pp. 145-182.
- Krugman, P., "Pricing to Market When the Exchange Rate Changes," in S. W. Arndt and J. D. Richardson eds., *Real-Financial Linkages among Open Economies*, MIT Press, 1987.
- Lane, P., "The New Open Economy Macroeconomics: A Survey," *Journal of International Economics* 54(2), 2001, pp. 235-266.
- Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry, "Basic Survey of Overseas Business Activities."
- \_\_\_\_\_, "Survey of Import Export Settlement Currency," various issues.
- Obstfeld, M., "Exchange Rates and Adjustment: Perspectives from the New Open Economy Macroeconomics," *Monetary and Economic Studies* 20(S-1), Institute for Monetary and Economic Studies, Bank of Japan, 2002, pp. 49-76.
- \_\_\_\_\_ and K. Rogoff, "Exchange Rate Dynamics Redux," *Journal of Political Economy* 103(3), 1995, pp. 624-660.
- \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_, "Risk and Exchange Rates," NBER Working Paper 6694, 1998.
- Otani, A., "Pricing-to-Market (PTM) and the International Monetary Policy Transmission: The 'New Open-Economy Macroeconomics' Approach," *Monetary and Economic Studies*, 20(3), Institute for Monetary and Economic Studies, Bank of Japan, 2002, pp. 1-34.
- \_\_\_\_\_, S. Shiratsuka, and T. Shirota, "The Decline in the Exchange Rate Pass-Through: Evidence from Japanese Import Prices," *Monetary and Economic Studies*, 21(3), Institute for Monetary and Economic Studies, Bank of Japan, 2003, pp. 53-81.
- Taylor, J., "Low Inflation, Pass-Through, and the Pricing Power of Firms," *European Economic Review* 44(7), 2000, pp. 1389-1408.

Table 1 Exchange Rate Pass-Through:  
Benchmark Estimation Results

	Jan. 80-Dec. 03	Jan. 80-Dec. 89 (a)	Jan. 90- Dec. 03 (b)	(b)-(a)
<b>[A] Short-term pass-through</b>				
(OLS estimation)				
Overall	0.59 (0.04)	0.73 (0.07)	0.53 (0.04)	-0.21 [0.00]
Overall excl. primary commodities	0.53 (0.02)	0.55 (0.03)	0.54 (0.02)	-0.01 [0.00]
(SUR estimation)				
Foodstuffs & feedstuffs	0.56 (0.03)	0.57 (0.07)	0.56 (0.03)	-0.02 [0.99]
Textiles	0.37 (0.02)	0.30 (0.05)	0.43 (0.02)	0.13 [0.01]
Metal & related products	0.40 (0.04)	0.60 (0.08)	0.29 (0.03)	-0.31 [0.00]
Chemicals & related products	0.50 (0.04)	0.66 (0.06)	0.41 (0.05)	-0.24 [0.10]
General machinery & equipment	0.68 (0.02)	0.67 (0.05)	0.69 (0.02)	0.02 [0.00]
Electrical machinery & equipment	0.61 (0.03)	0.74 (0.06)	0.56 (0.04)	-0.18 [0.00]
Transportation equipment	0.47 (0.03)	0.39 (0.07)	0.54 (0.03)	0.15 [0.00]
Others	0.56 (0.02)	0.42 (0.03)	0.64 (0.02)	0.22 [0.00]
<b>[B] Long-term pass-through</b>				
(OLS estimation)				
Overall	0.90 (0.07)	1.28 (0.16)	0.67 (0.06)	-0.61 [0.00]
Overall excl. primary commodities	0.65 (0.03)	0.75 (0.06)	0.59 (0.02)	-0.16 [0.00]
(SUR estimation)				
Foodstuffs & feedstuffs	0.69 (0.05)	0.68 (0.10)	0.69 (0.05)	0.01 [0.99]
Textiles	0.53 (0.04)	0.49 (0.10)	0.54 (0.03)	0.05 [0.01]
Metal & related products	0.52 (0.05)	0.78 (0.12)	0.34 (0.04)	-0.44 [0.00]
Chemicals & related products	0.74 (0.07)	0.94 (0.11)	0.62 (0.09)	-0.32 [0.10]
General machinery & equipment	0.84 (0.04)	0.98 (0.09)	0.77 (0.03)	-0.20 [0.00]
Electrical machinery & equipment	0.70 (0.04)	0.90 (0.09)	0.60 (0.04)	-0.30 [0.00]
Transportation equipment	0.58 (0.05)	0.58 (0.12)	0.56 (0.03)	-0.02 [0.00]
Others	0.63 (0.03)	0.50 (0.05)	0.70 (0.03)	0.20 [0.00]

Notes: Figures in parentheses are standard errors. Figures in brackets are  $p$ -values for the  $F$ -test on the null hypothesis that estimates in column (a) and (b) are identical.

Table 2 Decomposition of Changes in Long-Term Pass-Through  
between the 1980s and the 1990s

	Changes in pass-through to overall import prices	Contributions of	
		Changes in Import share	Changes in pass- through to category import prices
(Long-term pass-through)			
Overall excl. primary commodities	-0.16	-0.02	-0.13
(Contribution of each category)			
Foodstuffs & feedstuffs		0.00	0.00
Textiles		0.00	0.01
Metal & related products		0.00	-0.02
Chemicals & related products		-0.02	-0.04
General machinery & equipment		-0.01	-0.02
Electrical machinery & equipment		0.02	-0.08
Transportation equipment		0.00	0.00
Others		-0.02	0.03

Note: Sum of the contributions is not necessarily equal to changes in estimated pass-through to overall import prices because of approximation error.

Table 3 Robustness Check:  
Changes in pass-through from the 1980s to the 1990s


	Alternative specification		Alternative series of effective exchange rate		Benchmark (same as Table 1)	
<b>[A] Short-term pass-through</b>						
(OLS estimation)						
Overall	-0.06	[0.02]	-0.10	[0.02]	-0.21	[0.00]
Overall excl. primary commodities	-0.03	[0.02]	-0.00	[0.37]	-0.01	[0.00]
(SUR estimation)						
Foodstuffs & feedstuffs	-0.01	[0.92]	-0.03	[0.45]	-0.02	[0.99]
Textiles	0.16	[0.02]	0.14	[0.05]	0.13	[0.01]
Metal & related products	-0.17	[0.20]	-0.27	[0.00]	-0.31	[0.00]
Chemicals & related products	-0.29	[0.03]	-0.21	[0.06]	-0.24	[0.10]
General machinery & equipment	0.11	[0.00]	0.06	[0.01]	0.02	[0.00]
Electrical machinery & equipment	-0.24	[0.00]	-0.12	[0.03]	-0.18	[0.00]
Transportation equipment	0.03	[0.00]	0.12	[0.02]	0.15	[0.00]
Others	0.22	[0.00]	0.24	[0.00]	0.22	[0.00]
<b>[B] Long-term pass-through</b>						
(OLS estimation)						
Overall	-0.53	[0.02]	-0.39	[0.02]	-0.61	[0.00]
Overall excl. primary commodities	-0.15	[0.02]	-0.08	[0.37]	-0.16	[0.00]
(SUR estimation)						
Foodstuffs & feedstuffs	0.12	[0.92]	0.04	[0.45]	0.01	[0.99]
Textiles	0.08	[0.02]	0.09	[0.05]	0.05	[0.01]
Metal & related products	-0.19	[0.20]	-0.37	[0.00]	-0.44	[0.00]
Chemicals & related products	-0.18	[0.03]	-0.24	[0.06]	-0.32	[0.10]
General machinery & equipment	-0.28	[0.00]	-0.07	[0.01]	-0.20	[0.00]
Electrical machinery & equipment	-0.46	[0.00]	-0.18	[0.03]	-0.30	[0.00]
Transportation equipment	-0.23	[0.00]	0.03	[0.02]	-0.02	[0.00]
Others	0.08	[0.00]	0.28	[0.00]	0.20	[0.00]

Notes: 1. Figures in the table are difference in estimates for the former and latter subsample periods (January 1980-December 1989, and January 1990-December 2003).


2. Figures in brackets are  $p$ -values for the  $F$ -test on the null hypothesis that estimates of two subsample periods are identical.

Figure 1 Exchange Rate Pass-through over Time  
 (Overall excluding Primary Commodities/IMF Nominal Effective Exchange Rate)

[A] Short-term pass-through coefficient




[B] Long-term pass-through coefficient



Notes: 1. Rolling regressions are conducted with sub-samples of 72 months ending at each month on the horizontal axis.  
 2. Dotted lines respectively indicate upper and lower bounds of the 95 percent confidence interval.

Figure 2 Factor Decomposition:  
 Cumulative Change in Long-term Exchange Rate Pass-Through  
 (Overall excluding Primary Commodities)



Notes: W: contribution of import composition changes to the cumulative changes in pass-through, PT: cumulative change in pass through to each product category; Error: estimated pass-through - W - PT, ESTM PT: estimated pass through.