

# Introduction

**Kunio Okina and Hiroshi Fujiki**

The Institute for Monetary and Economic Studies (IMES) of the Bank of Japan (BOJ) held its 12th International Conference on the theme of “Incentive Mechanisms for Economic Policymakers” on May 30 and 31, 2005. (See Appendix 1 for a list of the round-table participants.)

The conference focused on how to design incentive mechanisms for economic policymakers. Specifically, it considered mechanisms to deal with the incentive problems in five areas of research: a monetary policy committee in a central bank, prudential policy, domestic financial markets, international financial markets, and fiscal policy.

The conference began with an opening speech by BOJ Governor Toshihiko Fukui, followed by keynote speeches from the two honorary advisers of IMES, Bennett T. McCallum and Maurice Obstfeld. The five subsequent sessions consisted of presentations and discussions of papers on how to design incentive mechanisms for economic policymakers. The conference ended with a panel discussion session on macroeconomic policy and central banking. (See Appendix 2 for the program.)

Session 1, entitled “The Monetary Policy Committee and the Incentive Problem: A Selective Survey,” focused on the incentive problems related to having a monetary policy committee in an independent central bank and the disclosure of information from the monetary policy committee.

Session 2, entitled “Prudential Policy,” examined a regulatory and supervisory system to deal not only with individual bank failures (micro-prudential regulation) but also with systemic crises (macro-prudential regulation), taking into account the incentive problems faced by the regulators.

Session 3, entitled “Marking to Market, Liquidity, and Financial Stability,” examined the externalities arising from mark-to-market accounting and their procyclical effects on asset prices. Balance-sheet regulations applying to institutional investors provided incentives for these investors to purchase more of an asset if its price was rising under mark-to-market accounting.

Session 4, entitled “International Financial Integration, Sovereignty, and Constraints on Macroeconomic Policies,” considered the incentive effect of sovereignty on international financial flows and the debt limits of nations.

Session 5, entitled “Searching for Non-Monotonic Effects of Fiscal Policy: New Evidence,” empirically analyzed whether the response of national savings to fiscal policy appeared to be non-monotonic.

The concluding panel discussion session, entitled “Macroeconomic Policy and Central Banking,” focused on three topics: transparency in monetary policy, continuity of monetary policy, and coordination between monetary policy and other macroeconomic policies. Three panelists, representing the European Central Bank,

the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, and the BOJ, expressed their views on these topics. The two honorary advisers of IMES served as designated discussants.

First, we summarize the main points of the conference discussions on monetary policy in Session 1 and the concluding panel discussion session below.

- (1) The participants at the conference agreed that an independent central bank should have an overarching legislative guidance to pursue price stability. However, the participants' opinions differed about the types of independence a central bank should have, such as instrument independence versus goal independence. Some participants wondered whether tension would arise between a central bank's price stability objective and other objectives.
- (2) The participants agreed that no single practical answer to the question on the optimal size of a monetary policy committee existed. Participants' opinions differed on issues related to membership of the committee: the appropriate background of committee members (for example, whether the members should be central bank insiders, or outsiders, such as academics) and whether committee members should represent some regions in a currency union.
- (3) Some participants expressed negative theoretical views on the maintenance of monetary policy continuity when committee members have finite terms of office. However, other participants argued that it would be possible to maintain the continuity of monetary policy in practice for four reasons. First, the government could establish an overarching legislative guidance to pursue price stability. Second, the new members' views on monetary policy (for example, the desired rate of inflation) might be close to that of incumbent members. Third, only a portion of the committee was replaced at any one time. Finally, central bank staff might provide some continuity in decision making by supplying consistent economic forecasts.
- (4) The participants agreed that the disclosure of information on monetary policy committee decisions increased the committee's accountability to the public, and increased the public's sense of ownership of the committee. However, some participants argued that the disclosure of information on the future course of monetary policy could actually influence expectations and that the effectiveness of such policy should not be overemphasized. They argued that providing information on the future course of monetary policy based only on the rate of inflation might affect the signaling role of market prices by distorting the market prices of some assets, such as long-term government bonds.

Second, we summarize the main points of the conference discussions on the other economic policies from Sessions 2 to 5 below.

- (5) A new theoretical framework to deal not only with individual bank failures (micro-prudential regulation) but also with systemic crises (macro-prudential regulation) was proposed. The proposal consisted of four main points. First, liquidity assistance by a central bank should be restricted to banks with low exposure to macro shocks. Second, supervisors should select these banks, which then would face a capital requirement and a deposit insurance premium, both of which would increase with their macro exposure. Third, banks with an excessively high macro exposure would face a flat-rate capital

requirement and should not receive liquidity assistance in the case of macro shocks. Finally, central bank loans should be insured by a deposit insurance fund. Participants pointed out that the proposal provided a new theoretical building block to analyze systemic crises. However, some participants wondered if the assumptions of the model could be justified empirically. For example, some participants wondered if macro exposure for an individual bank was observable to supervisors on a real-time basis.

- (6) Regarding the financial stability implications of mark-to-market accounting, if market prices not only served as a signal of the underlying fundamentals but also influenced the actions of market participants, the loop between actions and market price could generate amplified responses—that is, the creation of bubble-like booms in asset prices and the magnification of distress episodes in downturns. Most participants agreed on the theoretical destabilizing effects of mark-to-market accounting. However, many participants argued that the decision to choose mark-to-market accounting should weigh various benefits and costs that were not included in the model.
- (7) A model of sovereignty constraint in a global financial market was presented. If creditors could not observe shocks to domestic fundamentals, government borrowing (foreign and domestic) faced a debt limit. The debt limit arose because a sovereign government chose whether to honor its own obligations or to default on its current debt and never issue debt again. The ability of a sovereign to borrow depended upon its willingness to repay. The debt limit constrained the exercise of fiscal policy. In addition, it could constrain monetary policy if some debt were denominated in the local currency. Creditors could not tell whether expansionary monetary policy was justified, and an inflation surprise would be viewed as a default. The participants discussed whether the feature of procyclical fiscal policy in the model was consistent with empirical observations. They also discussed whether the model could be applicable to non-emerging market countries or emerging market countries.
- (8) Using data on 19 OECD countries, empirical results showed that the response of national savings to fiscal policy appeared to be non-monotonic. The non-monotonic response of national saving was seen under a “large and persistent” fiscal impulse, defined as one in which the full employment surplus, as a percentage of potential output, changes by at least 1.5 percentage points per year over a two-year period. Participants discussed the robustness of the results and concluded that it was premature to use these results for policy recommendations.

## APPENDIX 1: LIST OF ROUND-TABLE PARTICIPANTS

**Naohiko Baba**

Bank of Japan

**Erdem Başı**

Central Bank of the Republic  
of Turkey

**Alex Bowen**

Bank of England

**David H. Bowman**

Board of Governors of the Federal  
Reserve System

**Jean-Philippe Cotis**

Organisation for Economic  
Co-operation and Development

**Charles L. Evans**

Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago

**Wolfgang W. Fritsch**

Deutsche Bundesbank

**Hiroshi Fujiki**

Bank of Japan

**Toshihiko Fukui**

Bank of Japan

**Francesco Giavazzi**

Università Bocconi

**Reuven Glick**

Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco

**Christopher B. Gomez**

Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas

**Marvin Goodfriend**

Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond

**James Harrigan**

Federal Reserve Bank of New York

**Hideo Hayakawa**

Bank of Japan

**Eiji Hirano**

Bank of Japan

**Nobuo Inaba**

Bank of Japan

**Kazumasa Iwata**

Bank of Japan

**Jiao Jinpu**

The People's Bank of China

**Keimei Kaizuka**

Chuo University

**Jonathan Kearns**

Reserve Bank of Australia

**Myung Kee Kim**

The Bank of Korea

**Kenneth Kletzer**

University of California at Santa Cruz

**Ulrich Kohli**

Swiss National Bank

**Yasuhiro Maehara**

Hitotsubashi University

**José Luis Malo de Molina**

Banco de España

**Bennett T. McCallum**

Carnegie Mellon University

**Atsushi Mizuno**

Bank of Japan

**Toshiro Muto**

Bank of Japan

**Hiroshi Nakaso**

Bank of Japan

**Kiyohiko G. Nishimura**

Bank of Japan

**Maurice Obstfeld**  
University of California at Berkeley

**Kunio Okina**  
Bank of Japan

**Wensheng Peng**  
Hong Kong Monetary Authority

**George Pickering**  
Bank of Canada

**Robert H. Rasche**  
Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

**Eli M. Remolona**  
Bank for International Settlements

**John C. Robertson**  
Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta

**Jean-Charles Rochet**  
University of Toulouse

**Hartadi A. Sarwono**  
Bank Indonesia

**Wolfgang Schill**  
European Central Bank

**Hyun Song Shin**  
London School of Economics

**Masaaki Shirakawa**  
Bank of Japan

**Shigenori Shiratsuka**  
Bank of Japan

**Miyako Suda**  
Bank of Japan

**Kenichiro Watanabe**  
Bank of Japan

**Hirohide Yamaguchi**  
Bank of Japan

**Janet L. Yellen**  
Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco

**Jeromin Zettelmeyer**  
International Monetary Fund

## APPENDIX 2: PROGRAM

Monday, May 30, 2005

### 9:00 Opening Session

Chairperson: **Kazumasa Iwata**, Bank of Japan  
Opening Speech: **Toshihiko Fukui**, Bank of Japan  
Keynote Speeches: **Bennett T. McCallum**, Carnegie Mellon University  
**Maurice Obstfeld**, University of California at Berkeley

### 10:30 Session 1 on “The Monetary Policy Committee and the Incentive Problem: A Selective Survey”

Chairperson: **Charles L. Evans**, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago  
Paper Presenter: **Hiroshi Fujiki**, Bank of Japan  
Discussants: **Marvin Goodfriend**, Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond  
**Ulrich Kohli**, Swiss National Bank

### 13:30 Session 2 on “Prudential Policy”

Chairperson: **Nobuo Inaba**, Bank of Japan  
Paper Presenter: **Jean-Charles Rochet**, University of Toulouse  
Discussants: **Alex Bowen**, Bank of England  
**Wensheng Peng**, Hong Kong Monetary Authority

### 15:30 Session 3 on “Marking to Market, Liquidity, and Financial Stability”

Chairperson: **Atsushi Mizuno**, Bank of Japan  
Paper Presenter: **Hyun Song Shin**, London School of Economics  
Discussants: **Eli M. Remolona**, Bank for International Settlements  
**George Pickering**, Bank of Canada

### 17:10 Adjournment

Tuesday, May 31, 2005

### 9:00 Session 4 on “International Financial Integration, Sovereignty, and Constraints on Macroeconomic Policies”

Chairperson: **Eiji Hirano**, Bank of Japan  
Paper Presenter: **Kenneth Kletzer**, University of California at Santa Cruz  
Discussants: **Jeromin Zettelmeyer**, International Monetary Fund  
**Jonathan Kearns**, Reserve Bank of Australia

**10:55 Session 5 on “Searching for Non-Monotonic Effects of Fiscal Policy: New Evidence”**

Chairperson: **Jean-Philippe Cotis**, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development  
Paper Presenter: **Francesco Giavazzi**, Università Bocconi  
Discussants: **Reuven Glick**, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco  
**Robert H. Rasche**, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

**14:00 Concluding Panel on “Macroeconomic Policy and Central Banking”**

Chairperson: **Yasuhiro Maehara**, Hitotsubashi University  
Panelists: **Wolfgang Schill**, European Central Bank  
**Janet L. Yellen**, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco  
**Masaaki Shirakawa**, Bank of Japan  
Discussants: **Bennett T. McCallum**, Carnegie Mellon University  
**Maurice Obstfeld**, University of California at Berkeley

**17:00 Adjournment**

